

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,608	03/15/2	004	Joseph A. ladanza	BUR920030174US1	2607
29154	7590 11/23/2005			EXAMINER	
	CK W. GIBB, I		LIN, SUN J		
2568-A RIV	LLECTUAL PR 'A ROAD	OPERTY LA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 304	(0.) (0.) (0.)		2825		
ANNAPOLI	S, MD 21401		DATE MAILED: 11/22/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/708,608	IADANZA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sun J. Lin	2825			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 /	March 2004.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters	, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,5,6,8,12,13,15,19 and 20</u> is/are rej 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>2-4,7,9-11,14,16-18 and 21</u> is/are ob 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	ected. bjected to.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 03/15/2004 is/are: a) ☑ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applority documents have been recaute (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No beived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to application 10/708,608 filed on 03/15/2004. Claims 1 –21 remain pending in the application.

Claim Objections

2. Claims are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 6, line 7, before "interpolated" insert —said—.

Claim 6, line 10, before "characterized" insert —said—.

Claim 7, line 3, after "layout" insert —framework—.

Claim 7, line 6, change "parasitic netlist" insert —netlist parasitic extraction—.

Claim 13, line 7, before "interpolated" insert —said—.

Claim 13, line 10, before "characterized" insert —said—.

Claim 14, line 3, after "layout" insert —framework—.

Claim 14, line 6, change "parasitic netlist" insert —netlist parasitic extraction—

Claim 20, line 8, before "interpolated" insert —said—.

Claim 20, line 11, before "characterized" insert —said—.

Claim 21, line 4, after "layout" insert —framework—.

Claim 21, line 7, change "parasitic netlist" insert —netlist parasitic extraction—

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 4. Claims 1, 5, 6, 8, 12, 13, 15, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0044510 A1 to <u>Zolotov et al.</u>

Art Unit: 2825

5. As to Claim 1, *Zolotov et al.* show and teach the following subject matter:

 A method of analyzing circuits using simulation – [title; Paragraph 0001, 0002, 0039 – 0040];

- Creating (a set of) <u>transistor (table) models</u> for a circuit has similar <u>transistors</u> that different only in their widths using <u>linear interpolation</u> of results obtained utilizing previously existing simulations/models ... <u>fast transistor models</u> [Paragraph 0039; Fig. 7]; Notice that (1) a set of fast <u>transistor models</u> is obtained using models of <u>linear interpolation</u>; it is a set of <u>interpolated models</u> for transistors (2) linear interpolation models applied to transistors whose functions are linear with sizes (e.g., widths);
- Building (i.e., creating) (a set of) <u>transistor characterization models</u> (i.e., <u>characterized models</u>) ... each transistor (characterization) model corresponds to a transistor with a unique combination of all its <u>electrical and geometrical parameters</u> ... <u>accurate transistor model</u> [Paragraph 0039; Fig. 7]; Notice that a <u>transistor characterization model</u> is an <u>accurate transistor model</u>;
- Circuit netlist ... transistors...database of transistors models indexed with parameters...(analyzing transistors in a netlist), based on transistor (electrical and geometrical) parameters, to selects <u>best transistor model</u> (i.e., <u>characterized model</u>) in database that models each transistor in the circuit netlist [Paragraph 0037; Fig. 7];

Notice that, in designing a circuit, if transistors match <u>transistor characterization</u> <u>models</u> (<u>accurate transistor models</u>) in a database, the matched characterization models are chosen; otherwise one of interpolated models, which appropriately model each transistor in the netlist, is chosen.

For reference purposes, the explanations given above in response to Claim 1 are called [Response A] hereinafter.

6. As to Claims 8 and 15, reasons are included in [Response A] given above. Notice that transistor characterization model (accurate transistor model) is a direct fit model.

Art Unit: 2825

7. As to Claims 5, 12 and 19, in addition to reasons included in [Response A] given above, Zolotov et al. teach that (1) the linear interpolation models use existing simulation models utilized in the transistor characterization models (actual transistor models) (2) transistor in each actual transistor model is characterized by its electrical and geometrical parameters (i.e., environmental conditions) – [Paragraph 0039]. Therefore the linear interpolation models and transistor characterization models comprise parallel sets of models to characterize transistor sizes and parameters including electrical and environmental conditions.

- 8. As to Claim 6, in addition to reasons included in [Response A] given above, Zolotov et al. teach the following subject matter:
 - Since transistor models stored in database are indexed, transistors in the
 circuit netlist which my be simulated with <u>transistor characterization models</u>
 (characterized models) can be recognized [Response A, Paragraph 0039];
 Notice that, based on index scheme, (feedback) information relating to which
 transistors use transistor characterization models can be provided;
 - <u>Linear interpolation modes</u> provide accurate and fast simulation results for transistors whose functions are linear with their sizes [Response A; Paragraph 0039]; Due to utilization existing simulation models, the linear interpolation modes would benefit from the characterized models [Response A; Paragraph 0039]. Notice that a circuit netlist can be analyzed to determine transistors whose functions are linear with their size to apply the linear interpolation models.

It is well known in the art that <u>linear interpolation models</u> will not provide accurate simulation results when functions of transistors are nonlinear with their sizes. In order to achieve accurate results and to facilitate switching to existing characterized models, changes to circuit netlist that contain those nonlinear transistors should be simulated. Notice that those changes require back annotating (i.e., modifying) a series of scenarios to (original) circuit design layout framework of transistors in the netlist for designer selection.

Art Unit: 2825

For reference purposes, the explanations given above in response to Claim 6 are called [Response B] hereinafter.

9. As to Claims 13 and 20, reasons are included in [Response B] given above. Notice that transistor characterization model (accurate transistor model) is a direct fit model.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 2-4, 7, 9-11, 14, 16-18 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but they would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Those claims are allowed is because that the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the following subject matter:

- A method of analyzing/designing (electrical) circuits comprises <u>schematically</u> <u>simulation a custom circuit</u>, <u>extracting saturation and dynamic linear mode</u> <u>transistors</u>, <u>back annotating a netlist of the customer circuit to a schematic</u> <u>with a predetermined device state</u> and <u>performing sensitivity analysis on</u> <u>saturation and dynamic linear mode transistors</u> in combination with other limitations as recited in Claim 2 and Claim 9, respectively;
- A program storage device embodying a computer program to perform a
 method of analyzing/designing (electrical) circuits comprises <u>schematically</u>
 <u>simulation a custom circuit</u>, <u>extracting saturation and dynamic linear mode</u>
 <u>transistors</u>, <u>back annotating a netlist of the customer circuit to a schematic</u>
 <u>with a predetermined device state</u> and <u>performing sensitivity analysis on</u>
 <u>saturation and dynamic linear mode transistors</u> in combination with other
 limitations as recited in Claim 16;
- A method of analyzing/designing (electrical) circuits comprises <u>selecting</u>
 <u>propagated information tags in netlist parasitic extraction</u> and <u>simulating the</u>
 <u>netlist parasitic extraction to ensure post-layout integrity</u> in combination with
 other limitations as recited in Claim 7 and Claim 14, respectively;
- A program storage device embodying a computer program to perform a method of analyzing/designing (electrical) circuits comprises <u>selecting</u> <u>propagated information tags in netlist parasitic extraction</u> and <u>simulating the</u>

Art Unit: 2825

<u>netlist parasitic extraction to ensure post-layout integrity</u> in combination with other limitations as recited in **Claim 21**.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sun J Lin whose telephone number is (571) 272 - 1899. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30AM - 6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S Smith can be reached on (571) 272 - 1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James Sun & 10

Sun James Lin Patent Examiner Art Unit 2825

November 21, 2005